Organisation-Oriented Programming of MAS From Closed to Open MAS O. Boissier⁽¹⁾

J.F. Hübner^(1,2), R. Kitio⁽¹⁾, A. Ricci⁽³⁾, J.S. Sichman⁽⁴⁾

(1) MAS/G2I/ENSM.SE (2) GIA/DSC/FURB (3) DEIS/Univ. Bologna (4) LTI/USP France Brazil Italy Brazil

Organisation Oriented Programming of MAS

LIP6 26th January 2009

Organisations in MAS

- Organisation in MAS is

 a supra-agent pattern
 of emergent or (pre)defined agents cooperation,
 for a purpose,
 that could be defined by the designer or by the agents themselves.
- Pattern of emergent/potential cooperation
 - Organisation entity, institution, social relations, commitments

Pattern of (pre)defined cooperation

Organizational structure, norms, …

Organisations in MAS (global picture)









Organisation Oriented Programming of MAS

LIP6 26th January 2009



Agent Centred

Social Reasoning

Coalition formation

Contract Net Protocol ...

Organisation is observed.

Coalition formation

mechanisms programmed

in Agents.

Agents know

Swarms, AMAS, SASO Self-organisations ...

Organisation is observed. Implicitly programmed in Agents, Interactions, Environment

Agents don't know about organization

bout organization	about organization
AOSE MASE, GAIA, MESSAGE,	TAEMS, STEAM, AGR MOISE+, OPERA,
Organisation is a design model. It is hard-coded in Agents Organ	Organisation-Oriented Programming of MAS
Organization Specification Organization Entity	Local Representation Observed Organization Bottom-up Top-down

Organisation Oriented Programming of MAS

LIP6 26th January 2009

Outline

1. Introduction

2. Organisation Oriented Programming

- 3. Organisation Modeling Language
- 4. Organisation Infrastructure
- 5. Conclusion and Perspectives

Motivations

- Organisations are usefull to program multi-agent systems:
 - To deal with heterogeneous agents' autonomy i.e. controlling their actions, goals, ... while keeping their autonomy
 - To help heterogeneous agents to coordinate to achieve common goals
- e.g.

when someone adopts the role of master student in a laboratory, she remains autonomous to perform its research but should follow some rules of the laboratory.

These rules can be:

"the access to computers requires an username"

"a master thesis should be written in two years"

• The master student is free to adopt the role, but once adopted the organisation expects her to limit her autonomy.

Multi-Agent Organisation and Autonomy



B: agents' possible behaviors

- P: agents' behaviors that lead to global purpose
- E: agents' possible behaviors constrained by the environment
- O: agents' possible/permitted/obliged behaviors constrained by the organisation

Organisation Oriented Programming



Infrastructure/Platforms for MAS in which domain agents operate on top of a middleware layer

- Supporting the implementation of agents
- Providing fundamental global generic services

e.g. JADE, FIPA-OS, SACI, ...

Organisation Oriented Programming



Organisation Modeling Language (OML): Declarative specification of Multi-Agent Organisation(s), collecting and expressing specific constraints and cooperation patterns imposed on the agents

e.g. AGR [Ferber 98], Teamwork [Tambe 98], Islander [Esteva 01], Moise+ [Hubner 02], ...

Organisation Oriented Programming



Organisation Infrastructure e.g. Madkit [Gutknecht 98], Karma [Pynadath 03], Ameli [Esteva 04], S-Moise+ [Hubner 05], SYNAI [Gateau 07], ...

Outline

- 1. Introduction
- 2. Organisation Oriented Programming
- 3. Organisation Modeling Language MOISE+, MOISE^{inst}, ...
- 4. Organisation Infrastructure
- 5. Conclusion and Perspectives

Motivations

- Organisation is explicitly represented as:
 - Organisation specification,
 - Organisation entity,
 - Local representations of organisation entity
- Agents can "reason" about the organisation
 - to enter into/leave from the organisation entity during execution
 - to change/adapt the organisation entity, organisation specification
 - to obey/disobey the organisation
 - organisation is no more a regimentation
- Organisation Infrastructure can
 - Manage the organisation entity and support the agents in their cooperation
 - Monitor and regulate the agents in the organisation entity

MOISE+ Organisation Modeling Language

- Model of Organisation for multi-agent SystEms [Hannoun 98, Hübner 03]
- http://moise.sourceforge.net/
- Distinguishes three main dimensions in the organisation of a Multi-Agent System:
 - Structural Specification
 - Functional Specification
 - Deontic Specification

MOISE+ OML Dimensions



MOISE+ OML: Structural Specification



MOISE+ OML: Functional Specification



MOISE+ OML: Deontic Specification

- Explicit relation between the functional and structural specifications
 - Permissions and obligations to commit to missions in the context of a role
 - To make explicit the normative dimension of a role

Role	Deontic	Mission	Temporal Constraint
	Relation		(cf. [carron 01])
Back	Permission	m1	In [0 30]
Middle	Obligation	m2	during [Attacker]
Attacker	Obligation	m3	Any

MOISE+ OML: Deontic Specification



Graphical view of SoccerGame Application DS

MOISE^{inst} **OML Dimensions** [Gateau 07]





Outline

- 1. Introduction
- 2. Organisation Oriented Programming
- 3. Organisation Modeling Language
- 4. Organisation Infrastructure

S-MOISE+, SYNAI, ORA4MAS

5. Conclusion and Perspectives

Motivations

- To help heterogeneous agents to dynamically participate in the organisation
 - Agents have to respect the organisation despite their architecture, their designer, ...
- To ensure or enforce that the organisation is respected
 - Agents have to respect the organisation despite their architecture, their designer, ...
- Interpretation of the OML
- Use of regimentation, enforcement, tools for cooperative tasks, ...
- Allows agents to interact with the organisation (agent programming issues)

MOISE+ Organisation Infrastructure



- OML: MOISE+ [Hubner 02]
- OIA: S-MOISE+ [Hubner 05], J-MOISE+ [Hubner 06]

S-MOISE+ OrgBox Services

- Is attached to an agent and connected to the OrgManager
- Interface used by the agent:
 - to change the organisation entity (e.g. adopt a role)
 - to get the state of the organisation entity
 - However, only a personalised version of the entity is given from OrgManager to OrgBox, respecting the acquaintance relation
 - to send/receive a message to/from another agent
- Interface used by the OrgManager:
 - to notify the agent about every change in the state of a scheme to which the agent has committed to
- No particular agent architecture is required

S-MOISE+ OrgManager

- Maintains the current state of the organisation entity
 - Created groups and schemes
 - Role assignments (Agents to Roles)
 - Mission assignments (Agents to Missions)
 - Change goal states (satisfied or not) ...
- Maintains the current state of the organisation specification
- Receives messages from the other agents' OrgBoxes asking for changes in the organisation entity/ specification
- Regiments some norms (cardinality, compatibility ...)

S-MOISE+ Organisation Events

- Organisation life-cycle
 - Entrance/Exit of an agent
 - Creation/Deletion of an Organisation entity
 - Change of Organisation specification
- Structural Organisation life-cycle
 - Creation/Deletion of a group
 - Adoption/Release of a role by an agent
- Functional Organisation life-cycle
 - Creation/End of a schema
 - Commitment/Release of a mission by an agent
 - Change of a global goal state
- Obligation life-cycle
 - Start/end of obligation

S-MOISE+ example



S-MOISE+: Org. Manager View

Organizational Entity after the events

> The defense group is not **well formed** since there is no agent playing 'back' role

К М	oise+ OrgView			
OE	main OE components	component description		
OS	joj OE • 1 Agents	gr_defense2 (group)		
		created from specification <u>defense</u>		
	gr_defense2	Well formation: The number of back players (0) is lesser than the minimum (3).		
		players		
		• <u>Marcos</u> plays <u>goalkeeper</u>		
	refresh	show as html 🔻 🥔 back		
OE dynamics simulation				
groups schemes agents				
Greate agent Marcos ▼ adopts The role goalkeeper ▼ in the group roles missions gr_defense2 ▼ ok				

MOISE^{inst}: Organisation Infrastructure [Gateau 07]



ORA4MAS [Kitio 07]: Motivations

- Regimentations vs Enforcements in Multi-Agent Organisations
 - Regimentations prevent agents' autonomy
 - e.g. "the access to computers requires an username", "roles which cardinality is full cannot be adopted, agents cannot adopt incompatible roles"
 - Norms regulate agents' autonomy
 - e.g. "a master thesis should be written in two years" "based on its roles, an agent is obliged to commit to certain missions, based on its missions, an agent is obliged to achieve some goals"
- Limitations of current approaches:
 - Management of regimentations and norms realised by mechanisms in dedicated services/agents within the agent platform
 - Organisation is half on its way of being a first class entity in MAS!!!
- Requirements:
 - "Give the power back to the agents", i.e. decision on Norms violation should be realised within agents and no more in the agent platform
 - Distributed mediation between agents to access the organisation state and to cooperate for norm management and enforcement

ORA4MAS: Foundations

- Artifacts, Agents, Workspaces [Ricci 07]
- Background in Activity Theory and Distributed Cognition



ORA4MAS: Foundations



First class entities for Organisation entity

- Organisational Artifacts:
 - Encapsulate and distribute the management of the organisation
 - Are in charge of:
 - enactment of regimentations
 - evaluation of norms compliance
 - Mediate agent interaction within the organisation
- Agents:
 - Try to achieve their goals
 - Use/control the organisational artifacts: i.e observe, monitor their state, reason and decide about the organisation (sanctions, ...)



ORA4MAS Global view

- 1. The agents' behaviours within the organisation is supported and mediated by the organisational artifacts with respect to the roles that the agents play.
 - Violation of regimentations are prevented
 - Non compliance to norms are detected

Organisation state, status of norms is published/made accessible to the agents through the artifacts

- 2. Using this information,
 - agents decide about norm violation and corresponding sanctions to apply
 - agents take care/or not of the sanctions and behave accordingly or not
- Our current work is currently focused on point 1.

Shaping OR4MAS on MOISE+ OML

- Organisation is specified along three dimensions:
 - Structural Specification (SS) : groups, roles, ...
 - Functional Specification (FS): social schemes, missions, goals, ...
 - Deontic Specification (DS): obligations, permissions





OrgBoard:

Management of the entry/exit/ access to the organisation



- **○** Operation
- □ Observable property
- Link Operation









Agents within ORA4MAS for MOISE+



Example scenario (1/2)

- 1. Using the Organisation Specification, an agent:
 - a) Creates the OrgBoard for the corresponding organisation
 - b) Creates the different GroupBoards corresponding to the OS (e.g. Team, Defense, Attack). GroupBoards register in the OrgBoard
- 2. OrgBoard and GroupBoards are perceived by the agents.
- 3. Agents adopt roles according to their preferences and to the OS by using the operation adoptRole of the corresponding GroupBoard
- 4. Maximal number of roles is reached: operation adoptRole is disabled (i.e. way of enacting regimentation)
- 5. Since groups are wellformed, an agent decides to create the SchemeBoard to start the process.
- 6. The created SchemeBoard is registered in the OrgBoard.
- 7. Creation of the corresponding NormativeBoard

Example scenario (2/2)

- 8. SchemeBoard acts as a coordination artifacts showing which goals are achieved, the ones that are still to be achieved and that are possibles, the ones that are not yet possibles, ...
- 9. Obligations and permissions are computed and verified by the NormativeBoard
 - Use of information about members of the groups and their commitments to missions and updating of goal status in SchemeBoard
 - In case of non achievement of goals while being obliged, norm non compliance is detected
- 10. An agent may use this information to decide that it is a violation of the norms by the corresponding agents and may decide to apply sanctions according to its own strategies

11. ...

Outline

1. Introduction

- 2. Organisation Oriented Programming
- 3. Organisation Modeling Language
- 4. Organisation Infrastructure
- **5. Conclusion and Perspectives**

Conclusion and perspectives

- Status
 - First prototype of ORA4MAS/MOISE+ implemented on top of Cartago
 - Experiments under realisation with Jason Agents and Jadex Agents
 - Future experiments with 2APL Agents
 - Organisation is no more outside of the MAS
 - Orwell's nightmare cannot become reality ;-)
- Where we have to go Further works and questions
 - Other organisational artifacts? (e.g. "Reputation" artifact [Hubner 08])
 - How to link organisational artifacts with "cognitive artifacts" (i.e. tools, resources, ...)?
 - Situated organisation? Situated organisational artifacts?
 - RBAC on the organisational artifacts
 - Organisational agents

References

- [Grossi 07] D. Grossi, H. Aldewered, and F. Dignum. Ubi Lex, Ibi Poena : Designing norm enforcement in e-institutions. In P. Noriega, J. Vasquez-Salceda, G. Boella, O. Boissier, V. Dignum, N. Fornara, and E. Matson, editors, Coordination, Organizations, Institutions, and Norms in Agent Systems II, volume 4386 of LNAI, pages 101–114. Springer, 2007. Revised Selected Papers.
- [Hannoun 00] M. Hannoun, O. Boissier, J. S. Sichman, and C. Sayettat, Moise : An organizational model for multi-agent systems In M.C. Monard and J.S. Sichman, editors, Advances in Artificial Intelligence, volume 1952 of LNAI, pages 156—165, Springer, 2000. International Joint Conference IBERAMIA-SBIA
- [Hubner 02] J. F. Hubner, J. S. Sichman, and O. Boissier. Using the MOISE+ for a cooperative framework of MAS reorganisation. In A. L. C. Bazzan and S. Labidi, editors, Proceedings of the 17th Brazilian Symposium on Artificial Intelligence (SBIA'04), volume 3171 of LNAI, pages 506–515, Berlin, 2004. Springer.
- [Hubner 05] J. F. Hubner, J. S. Sichman, and O. Boissier. S-MOISE+: A middleware for developing organised multi-agent systems. In O. Boissier, V. Dignum, E. Matson, and J. S. Sichman, editors, Proceedings of the International Workshop on Organizations in Multi-Agent Systems, from Organizations to Organization Oriented Programming in MAS (OOOP'2005), volume 3913 of LNAI, pages 64–78. Springer, 2006.
- [Gateau 05] Gâteau, B., Boissier, O., Khadraoui, D., Dubois, E.: MOISE-Inst : An organizational model for specifying rights and duties of autonomous agents. In van der Torre, L., Boella, G., eds.: 1st International Workshop on Coordination and Organisation (CoOrg 2005) affiliated with the 7th International Conference on Coordination Models and Languages, Namur - Belgium (2005)
- [Hubner 07] J. F. Hubner, J. S. Sichman, and O. Boissier. Developing organised multi-agent systems using the MOISE+ model: Programming issues at the system and agent levels. International Journal of Agent-Oriented Software Engineering, 1(3/4):370–395, 2007.
- [Ricci 07] A. Ricci, M. Viroli, and A. Omicini. The A&A programming model & technology for developing agent environments in MAS.
 In M. Dastani, A. El Fallah Seghrouchni, A. Ricci, and M. Winikoff, editors, Post-proceedings of the 5th International Workshop "Programming Multi-Agent Systems" (PROMAS 2007), volume 4908 of LNAI, pages 91–109. Springer, 2007.
- [Kitio 07] R. Kitio, O. Boissier, J. F. Hubner, and A. Ricci. Organisational artifacts and agents for open multi-agent organisations: "giving the power back to the agents". In J. Sichman, P. Noriega, J. Padget, and S. Ossowski, editors, Coordination, Organizations, Institutions, and Norms in Agent Systems III, volume 4870 of LNAI, pages 171–186. Springer, 2008. Revised Selected Papers.
- [Hubner 08] Jomi Fred Hubner, Laurent Vercouter, and Olivier Boissier, Instrumenting Multi-Agent Organisations with Reputation Artifacts, COIN Workshop at AAAI 08.